

From: "We The People for Independent Texas"  
Subject: **No contract - No case.**

**\*Reference Material – For information only\***

The following was put together by one of our classmates! Good job! **Well Done!**

**Courts**

**Kangaroo court**

1. A self appointed tribunal or mock court in which the principles of law and justice are disregarded perverted or parodied.
2. A court or tribunal characterized by authorized or irregular procedures, esp. so as to render a fair proceeding impossible.
3. A sham legal proceeding. Black's 7<sup>th</sup> Edition, page 359. Common Law Courts (CLC) vs. Judge's Chambers (JC) -- commonly known as municipal, district, state, provincial, appellate, supreme, federal, courts.

**Law**

Common Law Courts - CLC

1. One law - the Golden Rule - you are free to do anything you please as long as you do not infringe upon the life, liberty, property, or rights of a living soul;
2. is the truth.

Judge's Chambers - JC

1. Equity Law - compels performance via contract. This can be only civil, not criminal, yet failure to perform as directed by a court can bring charges of contempt which is a criminal action. Admiralty / Maritime Law - civil jurisdiction of compelled performance which has criminal penalties for breach of contract. Since international contract backs codes, etc. and `courts' won't admit to this jurisdiction, they call it Statutory Jurisdiction. There are 60 million statutes, codes, rules, regulations, ordinances. Since 1938, all decisions will be based upon commercial law with criminal penalties. (This is why you can go to jail for not wearing a seatbelt.)
2. is colorable - truth can not be seen or heard. \*

## **Purpose**

Common Law Courts - CLC - to compensate injured party

Judge's Chambers - JC - to obtain a contract in order to collect revenue for the Crown, to pay the interest on the Bankruptcy.

## **Recognizes**

Common Law Courts - CLC - Plaintiff and Defendant.

Judge's Chambers - JC - only `debtors' and `creditors'; `fictions' and `sovereigns'.

## **Jurisdiction**

Common Law Courts - CLC - belongs to jury

Judge's Chambers - JC - Judge has none and so tricks us into granting it

## **Judge's role**

Common Law Courts - CLC - Judge is mediator.

Judge's Chambers - JC - Judge approves motions and signs summary judgments - against living souls.

## **Summons**

Common Law Courts - CLC - defendant is summonsed to court via a signed, sworn, witnessed complaint by an injured party.

Judge's Chambers - JC - living soul is tricked into chambers as `defendant' via a summons, thereby granting jurisdiction. The summons needs only to be signed by the `judge' thereby making it a summary judgment.

## **Pleading**

Common Law Courts - CLC - defendant can plead "innocent".

Judge's Chambers - JC - no `innocent' plea, only `guilty' or `not guilty' or `no contest'.

## **Procedure**

Common Law Courts - CLC - straight-forward and works for all involved

Judge's Chambers - JC - is backwards or mirror-image; works only for the `court'.

## **Plaintiff**

Common Law Courts - CLC - accuser whom defendant can face.

Judge's Chambers - JC - corporation represented by a prosecuting attorney who confronts defendant.

## **Burden of proof**

Common Law Courts - CLC - accuser must prove defendant's guilt.

Judge's Chambers - JC - defendant must prove innocence - yet, it is impossible to prove a negative.

## **Defendant**

Common Law Courts - CLC - presents himself or has a lawyer represent him; ('defend': ward off attack from; OED; the word itself accurately suggests we are going to court as one to be attacked.)

Judge's Chambers - JC - Strawman, represented by an Attorney.

## **Arguing and testifying**

Common Law Courts - CLC - will present the truth of the matter.

Judge's Chambers - JC - will put us into 'dishonor', make us the 'debtor' - no debtor can win; no creditor can lose.

## **Verdict**

Common Law Courts - CLC - defendant is either "guilty" or "innocent".

Judge's Chambers - JC -attorneys have the defendant plea bargain since, if defendant is indeed innocent the Attorney makes nothing on the deal, other than his client fees; hence, the attorney works both sides of the court.

## **Crime**

Common Law Courts - CLC - infringement upon life, liberty, property, or rights of a living soul (a civil suit.)

Judge's Chambers - JC -all crime is commercial - a breach of contract.

## **Attorney**

Common Law Courts - CLC - none is needed; the defendant 'presents' himself or hires a lawyer to work for him.

Judge's Chambers - JC

1. tricks living soul to believe he is the 'Defendant';
2. is sworn to collect revenue for the Crown;
3. represents both sides of the court - the corporate strawman defendant and the Crown so he can't lose;
4. makes sure his client loses so he can get a cut of the fine (not so in civil suits);
5. works solely for the court unless he contracts to work for the living soul in which case he becomes a 'lawyer'.

## **Jury**

Common Law Courts - CLC - 'trial by jury'; the jury judges both the facts of the case AND the law itself.

Judge's Chambers - JC - 'jury trial' which means that the jury does what the 'judge' directs - this might include ignoring either the facts of the case and/or the law in question.

## **Charges**

Common Law Courts - CLC - defendant is permitted to face his accuser (the injured party.)

Judge's Chambers - JC - defendant is charged by prosecutor who represents the Plaintiff - always a corporation, never a living soul.

## **"Do you understand?"**

Common Law Courts - CLC - judge asks this in order to know if defendant is clear.

Judge's Chambers - JC - judge asks this in order to get defendant to contract.

## Sentencing

Common Law Courts - CLC - jury gives verdict; judge sentences with jury's approval, a living soul pays a fine or serves time.

Judge's Chambers - JC - Strawman/Defendant, not living soul, is sentenced.

Unfortunately, the living soul thinks he is the Defendant. Everyone who is in jail is there because of something he said, or failed to say, prior to, or during, an administrative tribunal, not for some 'wrong' deed.

-----

\* In an equity court, which is all there is since there are no more courts 'of law', when we are asked, "Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth so help you God?" we must respond "No" - not to be contemptuous but rather because the 'truth' can not be told.

Courts of equity can neither see nor hear 'truth'.

They are courts of fiction and cannot have any truth within. If indeed one tells the 'whole truth' the cat will be let out of the bag and he will thereby be in contempt and go directly to jail. We must tell 'our' truth, which is that we accepted and returned their offer, (more later), yet telling 'the' truth will land us in jail very quickly.

Remember that Martha Stewart got herself into trouble not by having anything to do with 'insider trading' but by lying. Since there is no law against 'lying', the only way she could be convicted was for her to have made it a crime to lie. The only way that she could have made lying a crime is by contracting and agreeing not to lie. The only way she could contract and agree to that was to 'swear to tell the truth' - it was her swearing in that created the contract which she later breached by lying - which convicted her. Had she never agreed / contracted to 'tell the truth' -- she never would have been convicted. Her conviction had nothing to do with 'insider trading' OR 'lying' - it had everything to do with contracting.

## Contracts

I am not making this up; the courts literally trick us into contracting with them in order for us to slit our own throats by breaching the terms and conditions of the contract. This is WHY we are asked if we will `swear'. We have an option; we are not required to tell the truth unless we swear we will - i.e.: enter into a contract with someone and agree to tell the truth. The sole purpose of that question is to get us to contract.

They have NO jurisdiction until we contract. As long as we do not contract with them - and no law can compel anyone to contract - we can remain free. We have the right to contract and.... the right not to contract. All they do is go along with us. They have no commercial energy of their own - they rely on us to give it to them. "All law is commerce - all commerce is contract - \*no contract - no case\*".

*"He who gives up his freedoms for security deserves neither"* — Ben Franklin

*"Government is like a fire, useful in the fireplace,  
but if it gets out of its place, it will consume everything you own"* — George Washington