Chapter 6 - Man's Laws; Are they valid? Are they anti-Christ?

B) 'Those which fail to study history are doomed to repeat it'. - A Short Look at the Constitution of the United States!

In the process of learning it becomes important to accomplish research. Failure to understand the causes as to why events occur always places the individual and the group in a high-risk position of ultimate failure with respect not only to the current issue at discussion(problem), but, also to future issues(problems). In other words, foundational errors in one area can cause total failure in multiple areas.

It is also nice that the learning, even after the fact of error, that in many cases a recovery, full, can be obtained with the individual or group learning of their error and their admission of their error. This assumes that the individual and or group has the ability to humble themselves and admit said error. However, the arrogance of most people precludes the aforementioned process. This individual simple calls this process 'acquiring the taste for humble-pie'. A man which can not admit to an error is not a man: he is a fool!

In 1995, on my first 'Notorious Declaration', Chap. 3, Part A, page 7 of this Offering, I stated that "I am a Soverin Citizen of the United States. Further, I stated on page 3-2 of Chap. 3, Part B, 'People of the state are entitled to all the rights which formally belonged to the King by his prerogative.' - Lansing v Smith, 21D. 89 (1829). And, further, I used the termonology of soverign in other fashions. THIS WAS IN ERROR! I did not even type the 'word' correctly in my first useages.

'Soverign' - (according to Webester's Dictionary Unabridged, 1968 and additionally copywrited back to 1904), #1 - above or superior to all others; chief; greatest; supreme. #2 - supreme in power, rank, or authority, etc.

I bought into this in error because I read the 'political' definition which placed me into direct contradiction to THE FATHER's 1st LAW - "I am the Lord thy Everliving(God)...Thou shalt have no other gods before me.". I was in error from the beginning. Certainly, I am not superior, nor am I the greatest, nor am I a chief, nor am I supreme, nor am I supreme in power, rank, or authority, etc.. 'Hook, line and sinker did I swallow; and, I gag on my error.

I bought into 'Lansing v Smith'. I bought into the political definition!

The Treaty of Paris which is attached in this Part B was not a focus in my research because the copy which I 'had' or 'used' only had the ten(10) Articles in my original copy. That copy left-off the first approx. 450 words; and, I only desired to read Article 1. I only desired to prove what I thought I knew.

Lansing v Smith was a court decision which said I had the 'rights of the King' and that I was the same as him(the King). In the first sentence of the Treaty of Paris the rights of the King are deliniated for all to see.

These rights are what he owned. What he gave to us which choose to be 'sovereign'. What we own if we are 'sovereign'. What we have 'bought' into if we are sovereign.

Refer to Treaty of Paris for following:

1) 'most potent Prince George' --So now I am a 'prince'?

Gen. 23:6 - "...thou art a mighty prince among us." - spoken by
Caininites to Abrashem with Abrashem refushing to rebuke Caininites
for useing said term about him. Fenton Bible says 'like a god'.

Thomson Bible says 'art a king from God'. The caininites 'elevated'
Abrashem and he failed to rebuke them.

Gen. 32:28 - KJB, "...as a prince hast thou power with God...". F. Fenton Bible says "...for you have wrestled with a Divine Messenger as with men, and been equal to it(the task?)." Col. Thomson says "...enabled to prevail with God, therefore with men thou shalt be mighty."

Am I a prince? Are you a prince? Have we been 'set-up' by the 'words' (useage) in the King James Bible?

'duke of Brunswick and Luneburg' - So now I am a 'duke'?

Gen. 36:1 & 15 - "Now these are the generations of Esau who is Edom.

& "These were dukes of the sons of Esau:...". F. Fenton says 'chiefs'.

Col. Thomson says 'emirs'.

Am I a duke? Are you a duke? Do we really want to be a 'son of the sons of Esau?

- 3) 'arch-treasurer' So now I am the treasurer? Of what, the Holy Roman Empire. You may read this yourself! Do YOU NOW HAVE THE ANSWER as to why you are paying 'income taxes'? One pays income taxes to supply the Holy Roman Empire which is the 'Whore of Babylon': which is the Universal Catholic Church(U.C.C. as in Uniform Commercial Code).
- 4) 'prince elector of the Holy Roman Empire' Do you have a 'voter's registration card'? Do you vote in 'elections'? If so, then you are fulfilling your 'prince elector of the Holy Roman Empire' duties!

The individual which will not throughly examine the 4 items above is destine to enjoy the 'fruits' of Babylon.

Article I of the Treaty of Paris grants 'Soverignty' to the individual states which allowed the courts to 'rule' that the individuals in each of the states are 'soverign's'. Thusly, when one decries their soverienty, they agree with being a prince, a duke, an arch-treasurer, and an elector.

Article IV is a treaty which is verified, sanctioned, an enforced to this day. The United States did not have any 'sterling money' and refused to pay England and France the 'debts' owed by the war. The War of 1812 was fought and the United States lost which actually proves that the Revolutionary War was a 'set-up' for the Constitution. THINK! How could have the 'greatest army and navy of the world' lose the Revolutionary War when the colonies had 'squat' to provide for war. And then a few years later lose another war in less than two years with almost no conflict?

PLEASE find a record of the United States paying 'the sterling money debt' to England and France? I can find no record. I do find that the United States and it's citizens are indeed paying 'interest' to England in the current form of the Federal Reserve System and the Internal Revenue Service to service this debt!

The Treaty of 1812 pledges certain items on behalf of the United States and it's citizens in full-fillment of these debts!

Article V - 'requires the individual states to give back the lands which formely belonged to British Subjects. What lands in the United States prior to the Revolutionary War did not belong to British Subjects? WANT to think about this?

Article IX - (THIS IS THE BIG ONE) Read it carefully! You will find that all lands which were won are now returned to the original ownwers! Your failure on this one item will destine you to a life of slavery!

You will find attached 5 pages entitled 'The Concession of England to the Pope. Each of you need to throughly read the same! You will 'see' where your sterling money goes, if you had any to pay. Since you have not paid the 'sterling money' you are paying interest and you are in debt. The sources for this item are included. Need anything more need be discussed about soverienty?

Exercise to accomplish:

Write the original 13 states and request(require) a copy of the enabling legislation(authorization) to hold a 'Constitutional Convention'. I did this.

You will find that there was no authorization to hold a Constitutional Convention; only, to amend the Articles of Confederation. All power of the states and the people was usurped at this time.

You will find that the rules for the Convention to Amend the Confederation were violated in the extreme!

With further research you will find that the Constitution of the United States of America was registered in London, England approx. six(6) months prior to the Constitution Convention. How was that possible if the deitiest founding fathers were on the 'up-and-up'?

Jerry F. Lisk.